By



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/000,295	10/000,295 12/04/2001		Sayling Wen	3313-0439P-SP	8936
2292	7590	11/08/2005		EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST		KOLASCH & BIR	RIVERO, MINERVA		
FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	•			2655	

DATE MAILED: 11/08/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.		Applicant(s)		
10/000,295		WEN ET AL.		
	Examiner	Art Unit		
	Minerva Rivero	2655		

Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Minerva Rivero	2655					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe		•	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 27 October 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS							
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evidence with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or				
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no							
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on) and the envisages and					
been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened sta above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	and the corresponding amount of the fee. Satutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)				
2. ☐ The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	nliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	a filed within two mon	the of the date				
of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal (of the appeal.				
	but prior to the date of filing a brie	f will not be entered	herause				
	(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).		jected claims.					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.		omnliant Amendment	+ (PTOL 324)				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s		omphant Amenamen	. (1 1 OL-024).				
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s). 		, timely filed amendn	nent canceling				
7. ☐ For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) ☐ will not be entered, or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		•					
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected:	•						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N ad sufficient reasons why the affida	Notice of Appeal will <u>r</u> vit or other evidence	not be entered is necessary				
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a				
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar							
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after of	entry is below or attac	inea.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:				
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SR/08 or PTO-1449) Paper	No(s)					
13. Other:	(1 10/05/00 011 10-1440) 1 apci	140(3).					
	, ~	\sim	\ /				
	\vee	MR	\ /				
	W	R. YOUNG	<i>\\\</i>				
·	PRIMAI	RY EXAMINER					
S. Patent and Trademark Office TOL-303 (Rev. 7-05) Advisory Action Before	the Filing of an Annual Prior	WININER	Denos No. 44/05/05				
Advisory Action Before	the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Part of F	Paper No. 11/05/05				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding claims 1 and 10, Applicants argue (pp. 9-10) that Parry et al. do not

disclose an adder for "storing the message in an adder according to a FCFS (First Come First Served) principle", "reconstructing the messages into the result based on the FCFS principle", and "comparing the result with the answer".

Examiner cannot concur with the Applicant. While Parry et al. do not explicitly disclose the element of an adder, they do disclose performing steps of adding and storing the message in an adder according to a FCFS principle (growing sentence is added upon in each step, Col. 12, Lines 42-51), reconstructing the messages into the result based on the FCFS principle (sentence is added to in the order that elements are received, see Sentence Builder, Col. 12, Lines 42-51) and comparing the result with the answer (the currently constructed sentence is verified upon each addition, see Sentence Builder, Col. 12, Lines 42-51). Therefore the Examiner rejects the pending claims, and maintains the 103 rejection regarding an adder, as it is an inherent element in performing the function of adding disclosed by Parry et al..

WE WANTE

W. R. YOUNG
PRIMARY EXAMINER